

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,124	02/02/2006	Klaus Fieback	244.1009	4313
20311 7590 03/18/2010 LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			EXAMINER	
475 PARK AV	X AVENUE SOUTH CIRIC, LJILJANA V			ILJANA V
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOTAL, TOTAL, TOTAL			3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

info@lmiplaw.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,124	FIEBACK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Ctatus	

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.
If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (i) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the sate or extended period for reply will by statistic, cause the application to become ARMONDED (50 LSC) § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any camed pattern term adjustment, See 37 CFR L704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2009.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 44-90 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>69-90</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 44-68 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/01/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SD/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/12/2006.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

#### Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, readable on claims 44 through 68, in the reply filed on October 16, 2009 is acknowledged.

Claims 69 through 90 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to the non-elected inventions of Groups II through V, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 16, 2009.

#### Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Drawings

4. The drawings filed on December 1, 2004 are also objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, for example, the latent accumulator bodies "located above an air-permeable visible ceiling" as recited in claim 49 and the latent accumulator bodies "formed in the manner of plates" as recited in claims 57 and 63 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Art Unit: 3744

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because (a) it does not avoid phrases which can be inferred (i.e., "The invention relates to"; "According to the invention,"); (b) it does not avoid legal phraseology generally reserved for claims (i.e., "Said latent accumulator bodies"); (c) it is written in runon fashion, thus failing to concisely summarize the steps of the inventive method; and, (d) it is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or huse thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use:
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phrascology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 GFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleofide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

## Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

(c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development: See MPEP § 310.

- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention
    pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent
    classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This
    item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.07 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (g) <u>Brief Summary of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f). A
  reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should

refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (j) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(b)(p).
- (k) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(c).
- <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 8. The specification is also objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there appears to be no proper antecedent basis in the specification for the term "cassette" as recited in claim 56.

### Claim Objections

9. Claims 45 through 68 are objected to because of the following informalities: "Method" [line I of each of claims 44 through 61] and "A method" [line I of each of claims 62 through 68] should be replaced by "The method" for improved consistency and readability; and, "the range" [claim 47, line 2] should be replaced with "a range". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124

Art Unit: 3744

 Claims 44 through 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, and are also written in a run-on fashion, thus failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and contain grammatical and idiomatic errors as well. No particular steps appear to be recited by the method claims either. For example, the plural alternatives in base claim 44 (i.e., "in terms of heat and/or cold and optionally humidity") render claim 44 and all claims depending therefrom indefinite with regard to the scope of protection being sought thereby.

Furthermore, many limitations in the claims lack proper antecedent basis in the claims, such as, for example: "the incoming air underneath latent accumulator bodies" [claim 44, line 9]; "the phase change temperature" [claim 47, line 2]; "the ceiling" [claim 52, line 3]; "opposed loading cases" [claim 54, line 4]; "the manner of plates" [claim 57, lines 2-3; claim 63, line 3]; "the heating period outside office hours" [claim 61, lines 2-3]; and, "machine-associated heat sources" [claim 68, lines 4-5].

The limitations "the heat exchange is performed prior to the inflow of incoming air and exit of the outgoing air from the room of the building" [claim 46, lines 2-4] are not clear as written. It is not clear, for example, whether the heat exchange is performed prior to the inflow of the incoming air outside of the room AND prior to the exit of the outgoing air inside of the room OR whether the heat exchange is performed prior to both the inflow of the incoming air and the exit of the outgoing air in which case the limitation "and exit of the outgoing air from the room of the building" is redundant.

With regard to claim 48 as written, the limitations "is conditioned by latent heat accumulator bodies located outside the room of the building prior to inflow into the room of the building" are not clear as written. It is not clear, for example, whether the limitation "latent heat accumulator bodies" recited in lines 2-3 of the claim refer to the same latent heat accumulator bodies recited in claim 44 from which

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124

Art Unit: 3744

claim 48 depends or whether the limitation refers to another, additional set of latent heat accumulator bodies. Claim 49 is similarly rendered unclear by the limitation "the latent heat accumulator bodies".

It is not clear what is meant by the limitation "an air-permeable visible ceiling" as recited in lines 2-3 of claim 49.

The term "comfortable" in claim 50 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "comfortable" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Thus, as used to qualify the temperature within the room, this term renders the same indeterminate and claim 50 and all claims depending therefrom indefinite.

With regard to claim 51 as written, it is not clear at all what the limitation "by different daytime/nighttime conditioning of the ambient air in the room" is intended to encompass.

With regard to claim 53 as written, it is not clear at all what the limitation "by different conditioning of the ambient air in the room" is intended to encompass.

Regarding claims 59 and 65, the phrase "for instance" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

With regard to each of claims 61 and 68, the limitation "outside office hours" is not defined and further renders indefinite the metes and bounds of protection sought by the claims.

The word "means" is used in the claims without any apparent intent to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph (i.e., "by means of" in line 4 of claim 68). This should be avoided.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/517,124

Art Unit: 3744

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best can be understood in view of the indefiniteness of the claims, claims 44, 45, 52, 56
 through 58, 60, 62 through 64, 66, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO
 96/14550 (made of record via IDS).

WO 96/14550 (especially either Figures 8 and 9 or Figures 10 and 11) discloses a method for conditioning the air inside of a room by flowing air over latent heat accumulator bodies disposed in a plate or cassette 6 or 11.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

14. The non-application of prior art against claims 46 through 51, 53 through 55, 59, 61, 65, and 68 should not be construed as an indicator of allowable subject matter in the claims, but rather as indicator of the degree of indefiniteness of the claims.

#### Conclusion

- The additional prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner works a flexible work schedule but can normally be reached on most days during the work week between the hours of 10:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Application/Control Number: 10/517,124 Page 10

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.